

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
' 09/039,176	03/13/98	RINES		C	
-		LM02/0817	—	EXAMINER	
RINES AND RINES 81 NORTH STATE STREET CONCORD NH 03301				DAVIS,	D
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2754	
				DATE MAILED:	08/17/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/039,176

Applican

Rines et al

Examiner

David D. Davis

Group Art Unit 2754



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 4, 1999			
☑ This action is FINAL .			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is se is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failu application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exter 37 CFR 1.136(a).	re to respond within the period for response will cause the		
Disposition of Claims			
X Claim(s) 12-22	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
☐ Claim(s)			
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	ving Review, PTO-948.		
The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on			
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copie:	s of the priority documents have been		
received.			
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial I			
\square received in this national stage application from t	the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
*Certified copies not received:			
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pri	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	r No(s)		
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO	0-948		
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
OFF OFFICE ACTION O	ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES		
SEE UFFIGE ACTION O	//		

Art Unit: 2754

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 12, 15-18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hale (US 4,713,801).

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/039,176

Art Unit: 2754

4. Claims 13, 19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hale (US 4,713,801) in view of Sano (JP 63-14526). As per claims 12, 15-18 and 21, Hale discloses in column 5, lines 23-30 and shows in figures 1 a combined vehicle entertainment audio-tape player and tape recorder cassette deck system provided with playback/recording head and audio amplifier means and respective vehicle loudspeaker and recording microphone having a dictation-recording switch selectively actuable by the vehicle driver for energizing the recorder to enable the driver to dictate locally in the vehicle into the microphone and locally to record the driver dictation on a cassette tape.

However, concerning claims 13, 19 and 22, Hale is silent as to a driver-operated switch control located at the steering wheel structure.

Sano discloses driver-operated switch controls 8 and 9 located at the steering wheel structure of a vehicle.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Hale with a switch disposed in the steering wheel region as taught by Sano.

The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to facilitate easy access of the switching means in order to ensure correct operation.

Art Unit: 2754

5. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hale (US 4,713,801) in view of Sano (JP 63-14526) as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Sato et al (US 4,342,106). As per claim 13, Hale as modified by Sano discloses the claimed invention. See section 4, supra.

However, concerning claim 14, Hale is also silent as to voice activated switches.

Sato et al discloses a driver actuated switch effected by the driver via voice-command actuated switches.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the tape deck of Hale with voice command capabilities as taught by Sato et al.

The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to control the tape deck without using one's hands in order to decrease distractions especially while driving.

6. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hale (US 4,713,801) in view of Sato et al (US 4,342,106). As per claims 12, 15-18 and 21, Hale discloses in column 5, lines 23-30 and shows in figures 1 a combined vehicle entertainment audio-tape player and tape recorder cassette deck system provided with playback/recording head and audio amplifier means and respective vehicle loudspeaker and recording microphone having a dictation-recording switch selectively actuable by the vehicle driver for energizing the recorder to

Art Unit: 2754

enable the driver to dictate locally in the vehicle into the microphone and locally to record the driver dictation on a cassette tape.

However, concerning claim 20, Hale is also silent as to voice activated switches.

Sato et al discloses a driver actuated switch effected by the driver via voice-command actuated switches.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the tape deck of Hale with voice command capabilities as taught by Sato et al.

The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to control the tape deck without using one's hands in order to decrease distractions especially while driving.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicants' arguments filed June 4, 1999 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In page 6, applicants assert the following:

Now, as a catch-all, Hale put in a mention that dictation in the car might also be possible: "the tape player/recorder of this invention may also include a microphone jack or plug designed to accommodate a <u>hand-held microphone</u> for purposes of recording dictation while in transit." Hale does not, however, show where any such jack or plug can actually be electrically connected in the circuit of Fig. 2, how it becomes operable, or what controls it.

Whether or not Hale shows "where any such jack or plug can actually be electrically connected in the circuit of Fig. 2, how it becomes operable, or what controls it", is not germane. Hale clearly

Art Unit: 2754

states, as quoted by applicant, that "the tape player/recorder of this invention may also include a microphone jack or plug designed to accommodate a <u>hand-held microphone</u> for purposes of recording dictation while in transit."

On page 8, applicants assert that "Claims [sic] 12 clearly requires the microphone and its special recording amplifying components to be 'added and permanently integrated in the player tape deck". As stated above Hale discloses a microphone added and integrated or joined to the "player tape deck", and this integration is lasting or permanent.

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2754

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 9. should be directed to David D. Davis whose telephone number is (703) 308-1503.

David D. Davis

Primary Examiner

August 15, 1999